

Robert J. Peernock

Serving Life Sentence Without Parole

WINDOW # 2

133 Pages

ONGOING CORRUPTION AND KICK BACKS ON STATE CONTRACTS COSTING THE TAXPAYERS MANY BILLIONS EACH YEAR

THIS "ONGOING" CORRUPTION WAS PROVED DURING ROBERT PEERNOCK'S LAWSUITS AGAINST THE RETALIATION FOR "BLOWING THE WHISTLE," AND A FALSE CLAIMS AND RICO LAWSUIT WAS FILED.

THIS GROUP OF DOCUMENTS SHOWS THAT THIS IS ONGOING CORRUPTION BY CALIFORNIA STATE OFFICIALS AND INVOLVES BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDS--WHICH HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR THE LAST OVER 40 YEARS. THE DOCUMENTS SHOW THAT CALIFORNIA--WHICH HAS THE LARGEST BUDGET--IS THE MOST CORRUPT STATE WHICH HAS NO MONEY FOR SCHOOLS BECAUSE OF THIS "ONGOING" CORRUPTION.

ALL KINDS OF RETALIATION WAS TAKEN AGAINST ROBERT PEERNOCK AND HIS FAMILY FOR "EXPOSING" THIS CORRUPTION, AND FOR ORGANIZING A UNION IN ATTEMPTS TO STOP THIS CORRUPTION BY HIGH LEVEL STATE, OFFICIALS,

P.E.R.B. CASE LA-CE-16S, PROVING THE MASSIVE RACKETEERING ON STATE CONTRACTS AND THE SIX MURDER ATTEMPTS AGAINST R. PEERNOCK FOR EXPOSING THIS ORGANIZED CRIME

This "Opening Argument" is one of the series of cases (LA-CE-16S, et seq.) during which the <u>organized racketeering</u> on State contracts <u>was proved</u>. Also proved was the <u>repeated murder attempts</u> against R.Peernock <u>in retaliation</u> for "Blowing the Whistle" on this organized crime, which was <u>costing</u> the taxpayers billions

Because of the extent of this organized crime by the LAPD and involving Judges like Schwab, Major, Rimerman, etc., the Court Clerks and Judges have been trying to block this evidence from being part of the record. Portions of these transcripts have been included as EXHIBITS with R.Peernock's submittals in the Federal Courts, both at the District Court and the Ninth Circuit, but all sorts of attempts have been made to block these transcripts from being in the record—in on-going obstruction of justice and to cover-up this organized crime.

EXHIBIT "O" PEG 1 0+ 6

VOL. 2

CASE NO LA-CE-16S

construed that way.

1

2

3

5

8

10

11

13

14

15

17

13

JUDGE NAIMAN

HEARING OFFICER: Right. Well, maybe it would be best to wait, then.

MR. GATIEN: Because they have to do with words description used and they have to do with his view of what his function was and so on. I think that our questions are basically adversary in nature and I think it would be better to wait until our general cross-examination.

That's why -- Okay. Fine.

Then if there is nothing further in a general nature, I take it that -- let me just conclude with this.

EXAMINATION (continued)

- (By Hearing Officer) I take it that some time in 1979 you were terminated by the Department?
- That's correct. 16
 - And thereafter you were given a hearing before the State Personnel Board regarding that termination; is that correct?
- 19 That's correct.
- 20 And that was' approximately in 1980 --
- 21 Correct. The héaring was broken.
- 22 -- September --
- I went to two sections. The first hearing was June and 24 July in 1980. The second portion of the hearing was 25 September and October 1980.
- 26 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And I think that's all I have in 27 general.
 - Anything other than that, Gentlemen?

263

28



OPENING STATEMENT AT THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS
BOARD IN CASE LA-CE-16S. DURING THIS CASE ROBERT
PEERNOCK "PROVED" THE ORGANIZED CRIME ON CONTRACTS BY
HIGH LEVEL STATE OFFICIALS, THE "6 MURDER ATTEMPTS"
AGAINST PEERNOCK FOR "BLOWING THE WHISTLE" ON THIS
ONGOING ORGANIZED CRIME ON CONTRACTS, AND THE MANY DEATH
THREATS AGAINST WITNESSES IN ATTEMPTS BY THE CORRUPT
STATE OFFICIALS TO TERRORIZE AND DISCOURAGE THEIR
TESTIMONY ON THIS ORGANIZED CRIME AND RACKETEERING
COSTING THE TAXPAYERS BILLIONS EACH YEAR.

(Pause.)

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

27

28

HEARING OFFICER: If not, then we will now ask Mr. Mintz. if he has an introductory statement for his case in chief. MR. MINTZ: Yes. Thank you.

Mr. Hearing Officer, Mr. Gatien, Mr. Peernock, and to whoever reads this record: I make my opening statement brief and to the point.

Mr. Peernock, when he was first appointed as an employee of the State of California, -had been working in the private sector. He had been a good worker, diligent, intelligent and well-trained, and he took with him concerns about the dangers, the deaths and the maiming and the injuries that might befall people because of crooked contractors who didn't meet specifications.

He was assigned to Sacramento, where he was trained. He did his job well. He was assigned an inspection task which he performed diligently; and up until that time he had no problems whatever. Then he began to do his job in such a way as to demonstrate that he could not be corrupted. He was approached by the contractors. He was asked to play ball. He wouldn't do it. He was pressured by his own department. He was asked to play ball. He was asked to go along. He'd get power. He'd share in the profits. He'd have benefits. They offered him a carrot. He wouldn't do it.

The carrot didn't work so they tried a stick. Said, "We'll blacklist vou. We'll get rid of you. We'll injure you. We'll hurt you. Don't fool with us. The Mafia is involved. This and that." Threats wouldn't faze him, either.

> VIDEO / AUDIO RECORDING SERVICES, INC. 1709 MARCONI AVENUE

B

Li

He went to his supervisor. He complained. He conjoled. He did everything in his power. His supervisor went along . with him and they got rid of his supervisor and they sent somebody else down. They sent down a Mr. Neff to take care of this problem, this Inspector that was too honest. This person who couldn't be bought.

The threats were carried out. First he was injured.

That didn't work. Then they started to build charges against him. That didn't work, either.

Mr. Peernock wouldn't take this sitting still. He decided to do something about it. He filed grievances. He joined a Union. He saw too many people injured on the job because the contractors didn't meet specifications.

They tried to "bury" him somewhere, but that didn't stop him because of the employment organization, the State Employees' Trade Council finally, the Charging Party in this case.

He wrote more than a hundred grievances and suffered constant reprisals and harassment during the few years preceding his termination. Charges were built that were so flimsy that they couldn't even be used, and we have copies of those charges.

Finally, they sent around word that anybody who could build charges against Mr. Peernock would be rewarded.

First the contractors tried and thev failed. Then they got employees to try to fabricate a case against him.

Finally, through a conspiracy, collusion, call it what you will, they set him up. They couldn't get him any other way so they created an incident in which a Don Andrews, his

some motive to get back at him, approached Mr. Peernock one day when he came in. Mr. Peernock at this time had been injured severely by having equipment dropped on him and auto accidents under suspicious circumstances. He was in a back brace; he was under medication. He was under weight -- lifting restrictions. He had a 45 percent worker's comp disability.

Mr. Andrews was perfect for the part because he was a small guy. He looked skinny and harmless. Went over to Peernock and began kicking him. Kicking him at the sensitive parts of his body, in the groin.

Fortunately for Mr. Peernock two employees walked in at that time; they wanted to see Mr. Peernock on Union matters, and they witnessed Andrews kicking him. They witnessed the entire incident, from beginning to end, but the Department was so powerful that it didn't care about these witnesses.

Immediately after the incident the superior, Mr. Meff. who has been transferred since, said, "Give me your keys and go home. You're through." Just like that!

Everything that followed was merely form over substance. The Skelly hearing at which time he was supposed to be confronted with the evidence against him was a farce and a sham.

The State Personnel Board hearing at which he presented substantial evidence not only of his factual innocence but of the incredible effort that was made to fabricate charges against him. And the threats that he recorded some of them, that he was going to be "gotten rid of one way or the other,"



















