

***PART 3 of the Peernock Case Study-Summary:***  
***MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE***

---

~ PREFACE ~

Conviction is a crime-against-justice caused by flaws in our criminal justice system. Examples are, but not limited to, the following proven acts:

- 01] Police Misconduct
- 02] Sloppy investigations
- 03] Lack of scientific testing
- 04] No actual proper investigations
- 05] Failure to share exculpatory evidence with the defense
  
- 06] Filed court evidence and documents suppressed from jury
- 07] False-fabricated evidence
- 08] Innocent citizens framed
- 09] False claims made at time of arrest
- 10] Robberies conducted by police, including:
  - ❖ Killer cops
  - ❖ Conspiring to commit murder
  - ❖ Contract killings
  - ❖ Sex-torture-mutilation-murder
  - ❖ Armed robbery
  
- 11] Murder committed by police officers – murder for hire
- 12] Falsified police reports
- 13] Prosecutorial misconduct
- 14] False testimony by jailhouse snitches structured by police
- 15] Ineffective assistance of counsel
  
- 16] False confession forced by investigators
- 17] Framing innocent people
- 18] False incarceration to steal citizens' cash, assets and insurance policies
- 19] Defense investigators blocked
- 20] Media misconduct:
  - ❖ Media shills demonize-target-frame-destroy innocent victims
  - ❖ Rigged news and TV shows – using untruths
  - ❖ Super-hero cops portrayed by media shills and judges
  
- 21] Prison violations and mistreatment of prisoners
- 22] Public officials ripping off taxpayers
- 23] False fabricated evidence
  - ❖ Codes of silence by police
  - ❖ Perjured testimony

Utmost importance to this Summary are the following areas >

24] **Illegal acts and actions as:**

- ❖ **Racketeering scams taken by judges**
- ❖ **By laws judges misused, ignored and/or**
- ❖ **The structuring of new laws against the public**
- ❖ **Official corruption**
- ❖ **Codes of silence by judges**
- ❖ **Severe beatings during time of trial – judge ordered**

25] **Stubborn refusals to reopen questionable cases**

26] **Life sentences have laws that prohibit this category from cases being reopened**

27] **Improper use of the badge for immunity**

28] **Severe beatings at time of arrest**

29] **Operating prostitution rings \***

30] **Trafficking in automatic weapons \***

**\* Only these last two illegal acts were not applicable to Mr. Peernock's case.**

All of this leads to innocent people being convicted of crimes they did not commit. So many are not only convicted, but placed behind bars for life ... without parole. California leads the nation in this regard. There has been enough hard evidence of these fatal flaws that are purposely carried out by the courts that the California Legislature, in mid-May 2005, established an independent nonpartisan commission to study the administration of justice in this State, including the death penalty, with a mandate to issue its findings in December 2007. Sadly, the corruption is so great and deeply imbedded by all levels of the administration that it is predicted that the findings will only scratch the surface or be the typical cover-up.

And there is no moratorium in California to ensure that people with death sentences due to a flawed system are not executed before the study has been completed, and years more to put corrections in place, not to mention racial, economic and geographical disparities. Many have been found innocent after long periods of incarceration. Prisoners do not have any chance whatsoever for appeal, unless they have money and legal representation. How many more innocents are there, especially in the "lifer" category, where laws will not allow appeals to most prisoners?

The case concerning Mr. Robert J. Peernock is not unique in its makeup. However, since it may be questionable as to the facts in some people's minds, we will now show just how common the corruption in his case really is. This is being done by our discussion of other murder cases taken from actual text of published documents as it relates to those cases, and by centering on the corruption and racketeering elements.

## ***PART 3 ~ BRUCE LISKER ~ A 'CURRENT' CASE OF DOUBT***

### ***This Case Exposes a System Rigged to Imprison the Innocent***

Bruce Lisker in 1983, at age 17, was charged with the murder of his mother, who was severely beaten and stabbed. Bruce was convicted by Deputy District Attorney Phillip Rabichow using "lies" to deceive the jury:

- 01] Claiming bloody footprints at the murder crime scene were made by Bruce;
- 02] That Bruce could "not" have seen his unconscious dying mother through the living room window and could "not" have seen his mother through the dining room window, which was the reason Bruce stated he broke into the house to help his mother and to call 911;
- 03] That Bruce had stolen money (\$120) from his mother's purse and that was the reason that Bruce killed his mother, after she accused him;
- 04] Used known perjured testimony of their Jail House Informant, who testified that Bruce confessed to him; DDA Rabichow paid and rewarded their Informant for this perjured testimony.

Each of these were outright "lies" by DDA Rabichow, in conspiracy with the dishonest and incompetent LAPD Detective, Andrew R. Monsue:

- 01] The bloody footprints were "not" from Bruce, and were obviously made by John Ryan, who had a history of knife attacks, robberies and violence to support his drug addiction;
- 02] When Rabichow was just recently taken to the murder scene by L.A. Times reporters, Rabichow then admitted that Bruce was able to see his mother from both the living room and dining room windows, and that he lied to the jury, and that LAPD Detective Monsue had also deliberately lied;
- 03] Rabichow also admitted that what he told the jury "was a lie", and that Detective Monsue had also lied, and that the money had "not" been stolen from the mother's purse as Rabichow had told the jury was the reason that Bruce killed her.

The dishonest Detective Monsue also compounded his "lies" to imprison an innocent man, and wrote a letter to the "parole board" (to keep an innocent man imprisoned) deliberately "lying" and in his letter claiming that the new owners of the Lisker home told him that the money Bruce had stolen was found in Bruce's old bedroom attic. The "new owners" signed a sworn declaration stating that the money was not found, and that they did "not" tell Detective Monsue that they did find it. (This is "standard policy and practice" by the corrupt LAPD detectives to make up statements they claim witnesses told them, and then later coerce or bribe the witnesses to go along with the made up, false story.

In the Lisker case, the incompetent, lazy Detective Monsue did not get around to coercing and bribing the witnesses as in the Peernock case in which “wholesale coercion and bribery” of the witnesses by the Detectives, Prosecutors and Judges took place.)

04] The Grand Jury condemned the conspiracy of the L.A. District Attorneys, Judges and Detectives to pay and reward their Jail House Informants to give perjured testimony, and also to transfer their felons from prison to give their perjured testimony in court to get convictions of innocent people targeted. The Grand Jury exposed that this conspiracy to use perjured testimony of Jail House Informants were used in as many as 250 cases. (In the Robert Peernock case they used known perjured testimony by their coached Jail House Informant, and falsified the tape recording to “obstruct justice” and cover up the Judges’ and Prosecutors’ paid for perjury by their Jail House Informant.)

When the LAPD’s own Criminalist finally analyzed the bloody shoe prints the Criminalist found that they were “not” from Bruce. The Criminalist also found that the marks on the mother’s head, where she was stomped, matched the bloody shoe prints. During the rigged trial, DDA Rabichow used Detective Monsue “to lie to the jury” and claim the bloody shoe prints were from Bruce.

The dishonest Detective Monsue interviewed John Ryan and knew that Ryan “lied” about his whereabouts at the time of the attack on Bruce’s mother, and that Ryan immediately the next day, left California. Monsue also “knew” that Ryan attacked someone else with a knife over drugs, and had a violate history besides drug addiction. Monsue did not check Ryan’s criminal history due to his excuse of incompetence. And when it was exposed that the phone number that was called from Bruce’s mother’s home, around the time of the murder, was to Ryan’s mother’s home phone, Detective Monsue continued to claim to be too incompetent to see that this linked Ryan as the murderer and was “clear exculpatory evidence” for Bruce, as was the “bloody shoe prints” and the stomping marks on the victim’s head by the same shoe prints.

As the facts dictate, Detective Monsue is dishonest, a liar, and incompetent. Monsue had to take the detective test “54 times” before he got a passing grade to make lieutenant. (In the Peernock case, the LAPD Detective Fisk was an alcoholic, and mentally ill as determined by LAPD’s own psychiatrists. Fisk was placed into forced mental illness retirement, after framing and killing many people and his involvement in many racketeering scams. After the LAPD got rid of all the witnesses, Fisk, whose father was a LAPD Police Commissioner, was brought out of his mental illness retirement and made the head of the homicide division, although Fisk was still an alcoholic and mentally ill.)

Detective Monsue’s claims that “you have to keep it simple”, “the person at the scene of the murder covered with blood is the murderer.” (In the Robert Peernock case, there was absolute evidence that he was “24 miles away” at the time of the car

crash and then murder, and the felon-informant working for Fisk, Doom and the Judges was covered with wet-fluid blood at 4:38 A.M. although their informant was at the scene from 2:00 A.M.. The Informant's car was also damaged when it cut off the larger Cadillac running it into a pole, and after the crash their informant then beat Robert Peernock's wife, Claire, to death.)

In the Bruce Lisker case the Judge ruled that "no evidence" could be presented that John Ryan killed Bruce's mother. (In the Robert Peernock case, Judge Schwab "ruled" that no evidence could be presented against his felon-informant, Dozier: although his informant was covered with wet-fluid blood at 4:38 A.M. and he had been at the scene from 2:00 A.M.; although his informant's car was damaged from cutting off the Cadillac; although his informant was seen under the Cadillac sabotaging it long after the Cadillac crashed into the pole at 3:30 A.M.; although witnesses saw that Claire had "no" injuries after the crash; although his informant was at a previous similar type murder; although his informant had a long criminal history of assaults, sabotaging cars, attacking women, and was judged to be unable to control his violence; although there was an arrest warrant for his informant, Fisk did not arrest their informant; and instead stopped all other Police Officers from searching their informant's damaged car for the murder weapon; and although Fisk manipulated the evidence at the scene to cover up for the murder by their informant [Fisk placed the leather lace across Claire's face, buttoned up her blouse and manipulated other evidence], etc.)

Bruce's trial defense attorney, Dennis E. Mulcahy, deliberately provided "no" effective defense. Mulcahy refused to have any of the evidence analyzed, which would have exposed the "lies" by the Prosecutor and Detective Monsue. All of Attorney Mulcahy's energies were spent in blocking all effective defense and coercing and tricking Bruce into saying he was guilty of a crime he didn't commit. Mulcahy also conspired with Attorney Bob Johnson, to coerce and trick Bruce into a false confession, so they could cover up for Prosecutor Ribichow's and Detective Monsue's "lies". This is the tactic of most of the Los Angeles defense attorneys, with extremely few exceptions. The defense attorneys in Los Angeles conspire with the Judges and Prosecutors to convict their own clients and receive "bribes" and "rewards" from the corrupt Judges. Mulcahy was made a Judge (a Superior Court Commissioner) for his efforts to "obstruct justice" and falsely imprison his own client. (As the record clearly exposes in the Robert Peernock case, all the defense attorneys were "bribed" by the corrupt Judges to block all defense, and each defense investigator who attempted to investigate was harassed, threatened, bribed, or killed to stop all investigations.)

Bruce filed a complaint against the corrupt Detective Monsue with LAPD's Internal Affairs, accusing Monsue of a dishonest investigation that covered up the murder of his mother by John Ryan; who was caught lying about where he was at the time of the murder; and who had a history of knifings, robberies and violence. Bruce complained that Detective Monsue also deliberately "lied" to the parole board to keep him falsely imprisoned.







